

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria Virginia 22313,1450

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,349	12/06/2001	Harumi Anne Kuno	10018402-1	5233
7590 08/19/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			CHEA, PHILIP J	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2153	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Α	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
1	10/003,349	KUNO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	xaminer	Art Unit				
	Philip J. Chea	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance of the second for Reply	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, can Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 May</u>	<u>2005</u> .	·				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See n is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents h  2. Certified copies of the priority documents h  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority  application from the International Bureau (I  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	nave been received. nave been received in Applicati documents have been receive PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/003,349

Art Unit: 2153

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to an Amendment filed May 31, 2005. Claims 1-18 are currently pending. Any rejection not set forth below has been overcome by the current Amendment.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. A computer language per se is not considered tangible. Therefore, claims 19-24 are not considered statutory. If the computer language was, for example, contained on a physical computer readable medium, then the invention may be considered statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 19-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meltzer et al. (US 6,226,675), herein referred to as Meltzer.

As per claims 19,25, Meltzer discloses

- (a) a plurality of defined document type descriptions, each document type description specifying a type of document that can be used (see column 18, lines 42-55);
- (b) a set of defined interactions, each interaction specifying any expected inbound document types and any resulting outbound document types (see column 21, lines 33-40, where a participant specifies the compatible documents which it is willing to transact with);
- (c) a set of transformations for use in connection with the defined interactions, each transformation specifying how to convert one document type to another document type (see column 21, lines 41-54, where translation occurs to make the document usable by the destination), together with instructions for applying said transformations to compensate for mismatches between documents actually received and expected inbound document types (see column 22, lines 32-42); and
- (d) a transition structure that maps all permissible flows for a given conversation by identifying interactions from the set of defined interactions and specifying transitions between the identified interactions (see column 22, lines 43-51),

wherein each of (a)-(d) is separately defined component of said computer language (the fact that these are defined as components of the computer language is implied if not inherent when dealing with systems in an environment as shown in Meltzer).

As per claims 20,29 Meltzer further discloses that at least one of the specified interactions allows for any of a plurality of inbound document types, and wherein the transition structure specifies different transitions depending upon which document type is actually received (see column 23, lines 38-60).

As per claims 21,32 Meltzer further discloses that the plurality of defined document type descriptions comprise XML stylesheets (see column 23, lines 38-60).

As per claims 22,33, Meltzer further discloses that the defined document type descriptions, the defined interactions and the set of transformations are available for defining additional transition

Application/Control Number: 10/003,349

Art Unit: 2153

structures that specify interactions and transitions for other desired conversations (see column 23, lines 23-37).

As per claims 23,30, Meltzer further discloses a second transition structure, corresponding to a second conversation, that identifies a second group of interactions from the set of defined interactions and specifies transitions between the interactions in the second group (see column 24, lines 31-57).

As per claims 24,31, Meltzer further discloses that at least some of the interactions in the second group also specify any applicable transformations (see column 24, lines 31-57).

As per claim 26, Meltzer further discloses that at least some of the interactions also specify any applicable transformations (see column 21, lines 41-54).

As per claim 27, Meltzer further discloses that both the transition structure and a plurality of transition structures for other Web services are accessible through a central Web-based registry (see column 9, lines 35-44).

As per claim 28, Meltzer further discloses that the transition structure defines permissible conversation flows from the perspective of the Web service (see column 9, lines 35-44).

As per claim 34, Meltzer further discloses that the control processor is located remotely from a processor executing the Web service (see Fig. 11, where host services [1105] and [1106] are separated from document translator [1103]).

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,349

Art Unit: 2153

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J. Chea whose telephone number is 571-272-3951. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PJC 8/15/05

KRISNA LIM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Philip J Chea Examiner